



HAL
open science

Automated electrohysterographic detection of uterine contractions for monitoring of pregnancy: feasibility and prospects

C. Muszynski, T. Happillon, K. Azudin, J.-B. Tylcz, D. Istrate, C. Marque

► To cite this version:

C. Muszynski, T. Happillon, K. Azudin, J.-B. Tylcz, D. Istrate, et al.. Automated electrohysterographic detection of uterine contractions for monitoring of pregnancy: feasibility and prospects. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 2018, 18 (1), 10.1186/s12884-018-1778-1 . hal-02065297

HAL Id: hal-02065297

<https://hal.utc.fr/hal-02065297>

Submitted on 22 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.


L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Automated electrohysterographic detection of uterine contractions for monitoring of pregnancy: feasibility and prospects

C. Muszynski^{1,2*} , T. Happillon¹, K. Azudin¹, J.-B. Tylcz¹, D. Istrate¹ and C. Marque¹

Abstract

Background: Preterm birth is a major public health problem in developed countries. In this context, we have conducted research into outpatient monitoring of uterine electrical activity in women at risk of preterm delivery. The objective of this preliminary study was to perform automated detection of uterine contractions (without human intervention or tocographic signal, TOCO) by processing the EHG recorded on the abdomen of pregnant women. The feasibility and accuracy of uterine contraction detection based on EHG processing were tested and compared to expert decision using external tocodynamometry (TOCO).

Methods: The study protocol was approved by local Ethics Committees under numbers ID-RCB 2016-A00663-48 for France and VSN 02-0006-V2 for Iceland.

Two populations of women were included (threatened preterm birth and labour) in order to test our system of recognition of the various types of uterine contractions.

EHG signal acquisition was performed according to a standardized protocol to ensure optimal reproducibility of EHG recordings. A system of 18 Ag/AgCl surface electrodes was used by placing 16 recording electrodes between the woman's pubis and umbilicus according to a 4 × 4 matrix. TOCO was recorded simultaneously with EHG recording. EHG signals were analysed in real-time by calculation of the nonlinear correlation coefficient H^2 . A curve representing the number of correlated pairs of signals according to the value of H^2 calculated between bipolar signals was then plotted. High values of H^2 indicated the presence of an event that may correspond to a contraction.

Two tests were performed after detection of an event (fusion and elimination of certain events) in order to increase the contraction detection rate.

Results: The EHG database contained 51 recordings from pregnant women, with a total of 501 contractions previously labelled by analysis of the corresponding tocographic recording. The percentage recognitions obtained by application of the method based on coefficient H^2 was 100% with 782% of false alarms. Addition of fusion and elimination tests to the previously obtained detections allowed the false alarm rate to be divided by 8.5, while maintaining an excellent detection rate (96%).

Conclusion: These preliminary results appear to be encouraging for monitoring of uterine contractions by algorithm-based automated detection to process the electrohysterographic signal (EHG). This compact recording system, based on the use of surface electrodes attached to the skin, appears to be particularly suitable for outpatient monitoring of uterine contractions, possibly at home, allowing telemonitoring of pregnancies. One of the advantages of EHG processing is that useful information concerning contraction efficiency can be extracted from this signal, which is not possible with the TOCO signal.

Keywords: Electrohysterogram, Automated contraction detection, Uterine contraction, Premature delivery

* Correspondence: muszynski.charles@chu-amiens.fr

¹Sorbonne Universités, Université de Technologie de Compiègne, CNRS, BMBI UMR 7338, 60200 Compiègne, France

²Département de gynécologie et obstétrique, CHU Amiens-Picardie, avenue Laënnec, 80480 Salouël, France



Background

The preterm delivery rate in Europe is between 5.5 and 11.1% and preterm delivery is the leading cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality [1]. Almost one in every two preterm deliveries is the result of spontaneous preterm labour [2]. Preterm delivery rates have remained stable for several years despite the routine use of monitoring tools in clinical practice [3].

In this context, with the support of the SAFE pregnancy@home research project (European Eurostars project), we have conducted research on an outpatient uterine electrical activity monitoring device that could be used for women at risk of preterm delivery. Uterine contraction is the direct result of the electrical activity of the myometrium. Recording of the electrical activity of the myometrium by surface electrodes (electrohysterography [EHG]), a noninvasive technique, can be used to identify changes of the electrical signal related to the type of uterine contraction, Braxton-Hicks contractions of normal pregnancy (inefficient) or labour contractions (efficient) [4–6].

The objective of this preliminary study was to perform automated detection of uterine contractions (without human intervention or TOCO signal using) based on EHG recording and analysis in pregnant women. The feasibility and accuracy of electrohysterographic detection of contractions was compared to those of external tocodynamometry (TOCO).

Methods

Patient characteristics

Pregnant women, included in two university hospitals (France and Iceland, Icelandic database [7]) between 2015 and 2017, were classified into two categories. The first group, the Pregnancy group, consisted of women at 26 to 35 weeks of gestation (WG), during their normal pregnancy follow-up, or admitted for threatened preterm labour. Admissions for threatened preterm labour were defined by the presence of contractions experienced by the woman and/or present on tocography, associated with cervical changes, i.e. short cervical length on pelvic examination or vaginal ultrasound measurement (transvaginal cervical length < 25 mm). The second group, the Labour group, consisted of women in labour at term, defined by at least 4 cm of cervical dilatation associated with regular uterine contractions. Women were recorded either at the first stage or second stage of labour but none of them were recorded during the pushing stage. These two populations of women were included in order to test our system of recognition of the various types of uterine contractions (Braxton-Hicks contractions and labour contractions). Women with pathological pregnancies (maternal or obstetric disease) other than threatened preterm labour were not included in this study. All women were over the age of 18 years,

with a BMI < 35, covered by national health insurance in the country concerned, and provided their written informed consent to participate in the study and to publish data and results in scientific journals. The study protocol was approved by local Ethics Committees under numbers ID-RCB 2016-A00663-48 for France and VSN 02-0006-V2 for Iceland.

Electrohysterography

In each centre, informed consent was obtained from each woman by the same person who also performed EHG recordings.


EHG signal acquisition was performed according to a standardized protocol to ensure optimal reproducibility of EHG recordings in the two maternity units [7]. Before applying the electrodes, the woman's skin was prepared by applying exfoliating cream to reduce inter-electrode impedance and to increase the signal-to-noise ratio of the recorded signals. A system of 18 Ag/AgCl surface electrodes was used by placing 16 recording electrodes between the woman's pubis and umbilicus according to a 4 × 4 matrix and two reference electrodes on each of the woman's hips. The 4 × 4 electrode matrix was shifted slightly to the right due to physiological dextrorotation of the uterus, as indicated in Fig. 1a. The sixteen 13-mm diameter electrodes were separated from each other by intervals of 17.5 mm (centre to centre). Electrical signals were recorded at a sampling frequency of 200 Hz and were then transferred by Wifi to a laptop computer for processing by TMSi PolyBench® software. All components of the EHG signal recording system are CE marked.

Signal preprocessing

The 16 unipolar signals distributed over the 4 × 4 matrix were subtracted two by two, according to the vertical axis, to obtain 12 bipolar signals, as indicated in Fig. 1b (V_{bi} , $i = 1$ to 12). Analysis of bipolar electrical signals has the advantage of increasing the signal-to-noise ratio and consequently increasing the signal quality. A band-pass filter was then applied to only select the 0.1–3 Hz frequency band, corresponding to the frequency spectrum of uterine contractions recorded by electrohysterography [8].

Characterization of series of uterine contractions

In this study, all EHG signals of uterine contractions were collected simultaneously with external tocodynamometry (TOCO) recordings. Although the accuracy of external TOCO has been reported to be poor, external TOCO was the only reference examination available in this study for experts to identify the presence of a contraction and to define the start and end times of EHG signals, while reviewing all signals. The start and end times of each contractile event, obtained by this peer



review of TOCO and EHG signals, were used as the basis to test the feasibility and performance of algorithm-based automated EHG detection of uterine contractions.

Application of the nonlinear correlation coefficient H^2

EHG signals were analysed in real-time by calculation of the nonlinear correlation coefficient H^2 . Each H^2 value was situated between 0 (non-correlated signals) and 1 (strongly correlated signals), as, in a previous study [9], we observed that EHG signals were correlated with high H^2 values during uterine contractions, while low H^2 values were observed for baseline signals between two contractions (Fig. 2). A sliding window, with a width equal to 800 acquisition points (i.e. 4 s), scanned the 12 bipolar EHG signals with a 400-point increment. At each position of this window, 36 H^2 values were calculated from the 36 laterally adjacent pairs of bipolar signals (for example between Vb_1 and Vb_4 , between Vb_4 and Vb_7 , between Vb_2 and Vb_5 , etc.). A first cutoff for H^2 , S_1 , was tested and defined to determine whether or not a pair of bipolar signals can be considered to be correlated. For each position of the sliding window, the number of H^2 values greater than S_1 was then counted (corresponding to the number of correlated pairs among the 36 pairs tested for each window position), resulting in a curve representing the number of correlated pairs of signals for each position of the sliding window.

Detection of contractions with H^2

The values of the curve obtained in the previous step were compared according to a second cutoff, S_2 , corresponding to the limit of detection. H^2 values higher than S_2 indicate the presence of an event (i.e. a sufficient number among the 36 pairs of signals are correlated over a given interval) (Fig. 3).

Fusion and elimination

Two tests were performed after the detection of events. The first test studied the interval between one event and the previous event. These two events were then fused when they were situated sufficiently close to each other (i.e. separated by a difference less than a tested and defined value, D_1).


The second test studied the duration of the events detected. An event was eliminated when it did not exceed a minimal duration tested and defined in this study (D_2).

Methodology of evaluation of the performance of automated detection of uterine contractions

All events detected for each recording were then compared to the reference labels of contractions previously identified by the experts. Three situations were observed: i) an event was detected in the absence of any identified contraction, and was therefore considered to be a “False alarm”; ii) an event was detected during a contraction and its start and end limits coincided with the reference labels (within an acceptable margin), and was therefore considered to be a “Full detection”; iii) when the limits of the event did not coincide with the reference labels, but covered only a part of the duration of the contraction or contained the baseline signal, it was therefore considered to be a “Partial detection” (Fig. 4).

Results

The EHG database contained 51 recordings from pregnant women, with a total of 501 contractions previously labelled by analysis of the corresponding tocographic recording. The percentages and number of recognitions obtained (full detections, partial detections and false alarms) by application of the first step of the method based on coefficient H^2 only are presented in Table 1.




The results obtained after adding fusion and elimination steps to the previously obtained detections are shown in Table 2.

The automated detection algorithm based on H^2 coefficients, followed by fusion and elimination steps, detected 96% of contractions (485 contractions out of a total of 501 contractions), with 62% of full detections. As explained previously (Fig. 4), a fully detected contraction is a contraction for which the segment detected by the algorithm corresponds (with a short time difference) to the limits indicated by the experts; a “partial detection” was noted when only part of the contraction or some noise was included in the detected segment. The number of false alarms (segments detected that did not correspond to a contraction identified by the experts) was 496 for 501 real contractions present on the EHG signals.

Discussion

Algorithm-based (automated) detection of uterine contractions is a major prerequisite to the use of EHG for the monitoring of at-risk pregnancies. The use of EHG has several advantages over tocography. Application of EHG electrodes adherent to the woman’s skin avoids the problems of electrode displacement, in contrast with the

tocodynamometer, which must always be correctly maintained by a belt in order to detect uterine contractions. External tocography, can be used to evaluate intrauterine pressure by recording deformation of the uterine fundus across the maternal abdominal wall [10]. Displacement or poor positioning of the external pressure transducer can therefore modify the signals recorded during contraction. Internal tocography has therefore been developed to overcome the lack of precision of external tocography, mainly in terms of quantification of the amplitude of contraction [11]. However, internal tocography requires rupture of the amniotic membranes and is therefore an invasive procedure that can only be performed during labour. Electrohysterographic recording of uterine activity was therefore developed in this context. Electrohysterography is an appropriate tool to evaluate intrauterine pressure and consequently the mechanical effect of a contraction using surface electrodes [12]. It is therefore a noninvasive procedure that can be used to monitor contractions during pregnancy. The present study used a small signal recording system that can be attached to the woman’s hip, facilitating outpatient monitoring, in contrast with external tocography, which usually requires immobilization of the patient



next to the apparatus. EHG allows mobilization during recording, making it a particularly attractive tool for out-patient monitoring, possibly at home. Another advantage of EHG is that, in the presence of contractions, it is able to discriminate between labour contractions and Braxton-Hicks contractions, and EHG analysis during pregnancy

can be used to predict the risk of labour [13–18]. EHG could therefore be a potentially useful tool for the monitoring of patients with threatened preterm labour.

The automated detection of contraction based on EHG processing was compared to reference labels of contractions identified by experts using TOCO in order




Table 1 Results of automated detection of uterine contractions by analysis based on the nonlinear correlation coefficient H^2 alone. The database used contains 501 labelled contractions

Full detections	Partial detections	Total detections	False alarms
62.5% (313/501)	37.5% (188/501)	100% (501/501)	782% (3918/ 501)

to evaluate the performance of detection of uterine contractions by EHG signals. Although the use of TOCO has not been able to decrease the premature delivery rate over recent years, it can be used to diagnose the number of contractions. However, TOCO does not provide any information on the type of contraction (physiological or pathological) in contrast with EHG analysis. Although intrauterine pressure determination appears to be slightly more accurate than EHG to detect uterine contraction, this device cannot be widely used during labour (risk of infections) and cannot be used during pregnancy with intact membranes [19]. To evaluate the accuracy of contraction detection in clinical practice, we compare EHG signal detection with the only device currently available in routine clinical practice, TOCO.

However, continuous automated detection of EHG spikes associated with uterine contractions must first be performed before this tool can be widely used in clinical practice in hospital or at home. The first studies concerning EHG detection of contractions, performed in the early 2000s, used a method based on the frequency content of the EHG signal [20]. The preliminary results of our study on algorithm-based automated detection of uterine contractions by EHG based exclusively on correlation coefficient H^2 showed a high level of accuracy of detection, but with a very high false alarm rate (3918 false alarms for 501 contractions), which can be explained by the fact that this method of detection is based on interpretation of the various correlations observed for the signals throughout signal acquisition. However, a strong correlation observed between signals recorded at a given point in time is not necessarily related to a uterine contraction, as these signals may also be due to active foetal or maternal movements or an instrumental artifact (movement of electrode leads, etc.). Consequently, a large proportion of the events detected by the correlation coefficient H^2 alone are due to these foetal, maternal or instrumental artifacts. In the present study, these events were considered to be false alarms. Furthermore, the information provided by the EHG signal during a contraction is not homogeneous, as some contractions may be only partially detected or may

Table 2 Results of automated detection of uterine contractions after the addition of fusion and elimination steps. The database used contains 501 labelled contractions

Full detections	Partial detections	Total detections	False alarms
63% (316/501)	30.7% (154/501)	92.6% (464/501)	92.6% (464/ 501)

be divided into several events (oversegmentation), resulting in detection of several events for a single uterine contraction. Inversely, the contraction detection rate (complete and partial detection) was 100%, which confirms that a strongly correlated electrical activity was responsible for the mechanical activity of the uterus. In this study, in order to decrease the number of false alarms, we have developed a technique of fusion and elimination of events according to their duration and the time intervals between events. Events closely related in time were fused, as they are considered to correspond to the same contraction, thereby decreasing oversegmentation (reduction of the number of events during the same contraction). Event fusion therefore increases the number of complete detections by decreasing the number of partial detections. Elimination of brief events decreased the number of events related to brief foetal or maternal movements, but also eliminated brief events detected within a contraction that were not fused during the previous step. This procedure resulted in a reduction of the false alarm rate (from 3918 to 496), as well as the short partial detection rate. Overall, this fusion-elimination technique allowed a ninefold reduction of the number of false alarms, while maintaining a very high uterine contraction detection rate of 96% (485 contractions detected out of 501).

However, the current false alarm rate obviously needs to be further decreased to allow effective clinical application of automated detection of uterine contractions by EHG. A study is currently underway in order to link this method of analysis based on correlation of EHG signals with a method based on EHG wavelet decomposition, taking frequency data into account. Linking of these two methods is designed to further decrease the false alarm rate, while also making detection of contractions more reliable, based on both their correlation and their frequency content. EHG recorded during contractions, rest phases or in the presence of artifacts, present different characteristics in terms of correlation (demonstrated by correlation coefficient H^2), as well as frequency content (demonstrated by wavelets). The combination of these two methods should improve the accuracy of detection of uterine contractions. A final step would need to consider other parameters (temporal and/or frequency) already shown to be potentially relevant to the analysis of EHG signals [19], and which could allow optimal reduction of the false alarm rate. Finally, in order to make a decision concerning the EHG bursts identified by the algorithm and not associated with a TOCO event (currently considered to be false alarms), we are presently post-processing the false alarms EHG bursts to see whether their characteristics are similar to those of the EHG bursts associated with a TOCO event. If this is the case, these events will subsequently be

considered to be contractions, and will be used for clinical diagnosis. If their characteristics differ, they will remain classified as false alarms.

After ensuring reliable detection of uterine contractions, this EHG database, recorded at various terms of pregnancy and during labour, could then be used to determine whether EHG is able to discriminate between these various events. We would therefore be able to study EHG parameters specific to the type of contractions during pregnancy (physiological or pathological) and during labour, allowing real-time estimation of the intensity of each contraction detected and therefore the risk of preterm delivery. Apart from simple counting of uterine contractions, EHG monitoring of pregnant women would allow estimation of the efficiency of these contractions, thereby providing an estimation of the risk of subsequent labour over the days following the recording. This objective constitutes the subject of ongoing studies on available and further EHG databases.

Conclusions

These preliminary results appear to be encouraging for the diagnosis and algorithm-based automated monitoring of uterine contractions by electrohysterography (EHG). We are currently pursuing our research in order to reduce the number of false alarms, while maintaining, or even increasing, the good detection rates obtained in this study.

Finally, this compact recording system, comprising surface electrodes attached to the skin, appears to be particularly suitable for outpatient monitoring of uterine contractions, possibly at home, allowing telemonitoring of pregnancies.

Abbreviations

EHG: Electrohysterography; H^2 : Nonlinear correlation coefficient; TOCO: External tocodynamometry; WG: Weeks of gestation

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the midwives who contributed to data collection in the maternity unit and to the women who participated in the study.

Funding

The Safe.pregnancy@home project was funded by la Banque Publique d'Investissement (BPI) France with award number DOS0041193/00. The funding body had no role in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The Icelandic Database is public and can be accessed on Physionet (<https://physionet.org/pn6/ehgdb/>).

Authors' contributions

CMa and DI designed and conducted the research. CMu was responsible for data collection (EHG recording) and wrote the initial manuscript. CMu, TH, J-BT and KA analysed data. CMa and DI critically reviewed the manuscript. CMu had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The safe.pregnancy@home project was approved by the French Ethics Committee CPP Nord Ouest II (registration number: ID-RCB 2016-A00663-48) and by the Icelandic Ethics Committee (registration number VSN 02-0006-V2).

The informed consent form was signed by all women included before EHG recording after providing them with an information sheet describing the aims of the study.

Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. The authors have no financial relationships to disclose relevant to this article.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 31 August 2017 Accepted: 26 April 2018

Published online: 08 May 2018

References

- Zeitlin J, Szamotulska K, Drewniak N, Mohangoo AD, Chalmers J, Sakkeus L, et al. Preterm birth time trends in Europe: a study of 19 countries. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2013;120(11):1356–65.
- Delorme P, Goffinet F, Ancel P-Y, Foix-L'Hélias L, Langer B, Lebeaux C, et al. Cause of preterm birth as a prognostic factor for mortality. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;127(1):40–8.
- Beck S, Wojdyla D, Say L, Betran AP, Merialdi M, Requejo JH, Rubens C, Menon R, Van Look PF. The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2010;88(1):31–8.
- Muszynski C, Terrien J, Dréan Y, Chkeir A, Hassan M, Marque C, et al. Evolution of electrohysterogram signals synchronization according to term of pregnancy: interest for preterm labor diagnosis. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil*. 2012;40(6):344–9.
- Alamedine D, Khalil M, Marque C. Comparison of different EHG feature selection methods for the detection of preterm labor. *Comput Math Methods Med*. 2013;2013:485684.
- Garfield RE, Maul H, Maner W, Fittkow C, Olson G, Shi L, et al. Uterine electromyography and light-induced fluorescence in the management of term and preterm labor. *J Soc Gynecol Investig*. 2002;9(5):265–75.
- Alexandersson A, Steingrimsdottir T, Terrien J, Marque C, Karlsson B. The Icelandic 16-electrode electrohysterogram database. *Sci Data*. 2015 [cited 2015 Jun 19];2. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4431509/>
- Lange L, Vaeggemose A, Kidmose P, Mikkelsen E, Uldbjerg N, Johansen P. Velocity and directionality of the electrohysterographic signal propagation. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(1):e86775.
- Happillon T, Muszynski C, Istrate D, Marque C. Automatic and real-time detection of contractions applying the non-linear correlation coefficient h^2 on electrohysterograms. VII International Conf. Computational Bioengineering (ICCB 2017), Sept. 2017, Compiègne, France.
- Eswaran H, Wilson JD, Murphy P, Siegel ER, Lowery CL. Comparing the performance of a new disposable pneumatic tocodynamometer with a standard tocodynamometer. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2016;95(3):319–28.
- Miles AM, Monga M, Richeson KS. Correlation of external and internal monitoring of uterine activity in a cohort of term patients. *Am J Perinatol*. 2001;18(3):137–40.
- Rabotti C, Mischi M, van Laar JOEH, Oei GS, Bergmans JWM. Estimation of internal uterine pressure by joint amplitude and frequency analysis of electrohysterographic signals. *Physiol Meas*. 2008;29(7):829–41.
- de Lau H, Rabotti C, Bijloo R, Rooijackers MJ, Mischi M, Oei SG. Automated conduction velocity analysis in the electrohysterogram for prediction of imminent delivery: a preliminary study. *Comput Math Methods Med*. 2013; 2013:627976.
- Lucovnik M, Maner WL, Chambliss LR, Blumrick R, Balducci J, Novak-Antolic Z, et al. Noninvasive uterine electromyography for prediction of preterm delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;204(3):228. e1-10
- Euliano TY, Marossero D, Nguyen MT, Euliano NR, Principe J, Edwards RK. Spatiotemporal electrohysterography patterns in normal and arrested labor. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;200(1):54. e1-7

16. de Lau H, Rabotti C, Oosterbaan HP, Mischi M, Oei GS. Study protocol: PoPE-prediction of preterm delivery by Electrohysterography. 2014;14:192.
17. Leman H, Marque C, Gondry J. Use of the EHG signal for the characterization of contraction during pregnancy. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 1999;46(10):1222–9.
18. Marque C, Terrien J, Rihana S, Germain G. Preterm labour detection by use of a biophysical marker: the uterine electrical activity. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth.* 2007;7(Suppl 1):S5.
19. Hayes-Gill B, Hassan S, Mirza FG, Ommami S, Himsforth J, Solomon M, Brown R, Schifrin BS, Cohen WR. Accuracy and reliability of uterine contraction identification using abdominal surface electrodes, *Clin Med Insights Womens Health* 2012, v5 65–75.
20. Khalil M, Duchêne J, Marque C. An autoregressive-based technique for event extraction associated with multiscale classification in non stationary signals. *Smart Engineering Systems Design.* 2000;2:147–58.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

